



CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA
Special Meeting/Work Session Maglev Update
Closed Session - Legal Matters regarding Proposed Maglev

Municipal Building & Virtual

This meeting will be held in-person and virtual.

25 Crescent Road
Greenbelt, MD 20770

OR

Virtual Participation

Zoom Webinar Attendees:

Please submit your request in writing via email to questions@greenbeltmd.gov

[https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82552661281?](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82552661281?pwd=NDdPemkxZENNeUorVUYrNENvc2NVQT09)
[pwd=NDdPemkxZENNeUorVUYrNENvc2NVQT09](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82552661281?pwd=NDdPemkxZENNeUorVUYrNENvc2NVQT09)

Dial-in: 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 825 5266 1281

Passcode: 702263

Monday, November 21, 2022

7:30 PM

I. Special Meeting

1. Special Meeting

Resolution Creating Green Ridge House as a City Entity
- 1st Reading

Suggested Action:

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Meditation and Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
4. Approval of Agenda and Additions
5. Legislation - Resolution Creating Green Ridge House as a City Entity - 1st Reading
[Resolution - GRH.pdf](#)

II. Work Session Packet

2. Work Session - Maglev Update
Suggested Action:

Agenda

- Introductions
- Council Discussion
- Questions and Answers
- Other Items

[WS200908.pdf](#)

[WS210210.pdf](#)

3. Closed Session - Legal Matter regarding the Proposed Maglev
Suggested Action:

Reference: Closed Session Notice

[Closed Session Official Notice 221121.pdf](#)

City Council Work Session Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: November 21, 2022

Submitted by: Bonita Anderson

Submitting Department: Administration

Item Type: Special Meeting Item

Agenda Section: Special Meeting

Subject:

Special Meeting

Resolution Creating Green Ridge House as a City Entity
- 1st Reading

Suggested Action:

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Meditation and Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
4. Approval of Agenda and Additions
5. Legislation - Resolution Creating Green Ridge House as a City Entity - 1st Reading

Attachments:

[Resolution - GRH.pdf](#)

Introduced:
1st Reading:
Passed: Posted:
Effective:

RESOLUTION NUMBER XXXX

GREEN RIDGE HOUSE

WHEREAS, since its inception, Green Ridge House has been a HUD Section 8 –202 apartment building for seniors aged 62 and older since 1979, and owned by the City of Greenbelt; and

WHEREAS, Green Ridge House is operated in part with the assistance of grants from the federal government’s HUD Program; and

WHEREAS, in order to obtain the grants, an application must be done online through SAMS.GOV, which has been successfully done for many years; and

WHEREAS, in order to apply for the required grants this year and in the future, certain documents, one of which is a Resolution “establishing” Green Ridge House by the City Council must be submitted; and

WHEREAS, this formal action by way of this Resolution should be accepted as the City Council’s formal recognition of the establishment of Green Ridge House in 1979, for purposes of applying through the SAM.GOV system for grants necessary for the operation of Green Ridge House.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENBELT:

That Green Ridge House is hereby formally recognized as being established in 1979 for purposes of providing HUD Section 8 –202 residential housing for seniors aged 62 and older.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its passage.

PASSED by the Council of the City of Greenbelt, Maryland, at its regular meeting of _____, 2022.

EMMETT V. JORDAN, Mayor

ATTEST:

Bonita Anderson, City Clerk

City Council Work Session Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: November 21, 2022

Submitted by: Shaniya Lashley-Mullen

Submitting Department: Administration

Item Type: Work Session Item

Agenda Section: Work Session Packet

Subject:

Work Session - Maglev Update

Suggested Action:

Agenda

- Introductions
- Council Discussion
- Questions and Answers
- Other Items

Attachments:

[WS200908.pdf](#)

[WS210210.pdf](#)

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE GREENBELT CITY COUNCIL held Tuesday, September 8, 2020, to discuss the Proposed Maglev Project.

Mayor Byrd started the meeting at 8:00 p.m. The meeting was held virtually via Zoom.

Present were: Councilmembers Judith F. Davis, Emmett V. Jordan, Leta M. Mach, Edward V. J. Putens, Rodney M. Roberts, and Mayor Colin A. Byrd. Councilmember Silke I. Pope was absent.

Staff present were: Nicole Ard, City Manager; Todd Pounds, City Solicitor; Terri Hruby, Director of Planning and Community Development; and Shaniya Lashley-Mullen, Assistant to the City Clerk.

Also present were: Susan McCutchen, Community Liaison for Bladensburg Citizens Against the SCMaglev, Owen Kelley, Bryan Bruns, Bill Orleans, and others.

Mr. Jordan noted that Council and staff needed to be mindful during tonight's discussion because he doesn't want all legal strategies to be divulged. Ms. Mach requested that the nine raised issues be held for a Closed Session. Ms. Davis stated there needs to be some discussion regarding some of Ms. Mach's points during the meeting, not the legal part of her document. Unfortunately, Mr. Roberts hasn't had a chance to review Ms. Mach's documents. Mr. Pounds explained that he would send a client/attorney confidential letter addressing her three legal concerns.

Ms. Hruby provided a brief history of the proposed Maglev Project. She noted that correspondence was sent citing Council's opposition and concerns regarding this project's impact on the City. As a result, the project was placed on hold for some time and is now actively moving forward. The Draft Environmental Impact Statements (DEIS) should be available this coming Spring for public comments. Ms. Hruby stated that an admin draft would be sent to all impacted agencies by the end of this year. The agencies have 30 days to review the document before it's published for public comments.

Ms. Hruby indicated that the project had changed since it had been on hold. As a result, the project will have significantly more environmental and social impacts on the Greenbelt community. Some of the changes include the two new alignments that will come out in the middle of the Forest Preserve and a new maintenance facility at Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC), over 140 acres. In addition, a substation has been added, covering 5 acres of land, including a stormwater management system and parking.

Ms. Hruby stated that the staff has been reviewing a PowerPoint presentation provided by Ms. Davis on how to check a DEIS. Ms. Hruby noted that the Maryland Law School has a Social Justice Webinar within the PowerPoint presentation. Local governments could establish partnerships with other nonprofits to assist with reviewing the DEIS.

Ms. Davis asked was the City considered an agency. She stated that when the DEIS is released, that is when the Maglev will determine which alignment they will choose. It could possibly be through the middle of the Forest Preserve. Ms. Davis indicated that the option that needs to be stressed is the No-Build Option. She summarized an article that Owen Kelly wrote, noting that the No-Build Option is the only option.

Mr. Roberts asked whether the staff has considered hiring an outside environmental engineering firm to tackle the DEIS. Mr. Pounds noted that when the City hires an outside attorney, the firm will hire experts, including environmental engineering experts. Mr. Roberts indicated that the Beltway Widening and the Maglev should be considered one big project because both projects affect the same watershed. Mr. Roberts explained that he has contacted various law firms and organizations and has contacted the National Resources Defense Council a few times. The National Resources Defense Council Board will be discussing whether or not the Board will take on the City's case.

Mr. Jordan stated that Council is unified and has been acting on fighting the Maglev. He advised Council had charged staff to identify firms that could represent the City. Mr. Jordan noted that it's not just hiring a lawyer, everyone impacted by the Maglev should actively be working to stop the Maglev. Mr. Jordan indicated that he had been actively working on stopping the Maglev for a couple of years. He has convened a committee of mayors and talked with the City's Congressional Delegations.

In response to a question asked by Mr. Jordan, Mr. Pounds explained that he had contacted the ten most prominent firms in D.C. and Baltimore and had only received one inquiry. He stated that it is more than hiring a lawyer; it is hiring a firm with the resources to provide a competent defense on this project. Mr. Pounds advised that he actively followed up with various firms, and none had expressed any interest. Mr. Jordan asked whether a conflict of interest comes into play if the large firms have other business with the principle in the BWRR that would influence the decision. Mr. Pounds stated that as part of the strategy, the BWRR would meet with the top 50 firms in Baltimore and D.C., creating a conflict of interest if any other business wanted to talk with the firms.

Mr. Roberts requested a copy of all the written responses Mr. Pounds received regarding the RFP. Mr. Pounds stated that he didn't receive any written responses; he had only received telephonic responses.

Ms. Davis mentioned that Mr. Pounds had reached out to nonprofit environmental groups, Green Peace, the Sierra Club, and the Anacostia Watershed Society. Ms. Davis suggested contacting the University of Maryland Law Clinic. Ms. Davis noted that some short-term items could be taken care of now, such as searching for an environmental engineering firm and forming a coalition.

Mr. Putens stated that the City of Greenbelt needs to form a coalition. He noted that several active groups are essential to Greenbelt and the surrounding areas. Mr. Putens advised that the City needs to put together an organization that specializes in the needs of the City. He asked how the City will pay for the firm.

Susan McCutchen, Community Liaison for Bladensburg Citizens Against the SCMaglev, thanked Council for holding this meeting. She explained that there are several groups in Greenbelt. She noted that she and others had been trying to get the information out to people for the last couple of years. She explained that a new BW Maglev Action Group in Greenbelt had written letters. Ms. McCutchen suggested that the City of Greenbelt stand together with Bladensburg, other Port Towns, other organizations, and Anne Arundel County against the Maglev. She noted that her group has been in discussion on forming a nonprofit.

In response to a question asked by Ms. McCutchen, Ms. Davis stated that how to stop the Beltway Widening was more environmental because it would affect the quality of life and destroy the environment. In addition, she said that halting the Maglev is more financial because the Maglev is supposed to be funded privately.

Ms. Davis advised that she attended a virtual Sustainable Maryland Certified meeting. The group that has had several virtual happy hours, and the main topic was the Maglev.

There was a discussion regarding the Mayors Coalition. Ms. Davis stated that the Coalition is no longer together. She was advised by Mayor Miles of Mt. Rainer, who established Prince George's County Mayors Association, that it had replaced the Mayors Coalition. The Association is primarily dealing with COVID-19, evictions, and utilities. Mayor Byrd explained that he had brought up the Maglev issue during a Prince George's County Mayors Association meeting.

Mr. Roberts requested the following items be added to the upcoming Council Regular Meeting: to discuss and act on finding a professional environmental engineering firm to review the DEIS and to work on creating a Maglev Task Force by utilizing the Forest Preserve Advisory Board (FPAB). The Green Team, Greenbelt Advisory Committee on Environmental Sustainability (Green ACES), and any other environmental organization within the City. Ms. Davis stated that the Maglev Task Force shouldn't be limited to City boards/committees only; it should be open to other organizations in the Greenbelt.

Mr. Jordan suggested broadening the Mayors Coalition to include all elected officials. He suggested a short-term goal to build a case to request more time to review the DEIS. Mr. Jordan explained that the Maglev isn't mass transit, and it's not financially viable.

Ms. Davis suggested that Council needs to encourage local groups and constituents to write letters and to have social media presence, with blogs and videos. She explained that the No Build Option needs to be emphasized. The Maglev is another travesty of social injustice because it is being put into communities of color. Ms. Davis stated that the City needs to do outreach with the Board of Education, all the Homeowners Associations, Anne Arundel County, State Officials, and the many coalitions. She suggested that nature walk videos document what would be destroyed and impacted if a ventilation shaft is built for the Maglev in Greenbelt.

Mr. Greg Simmons, Greenbelt East, thanked the Council for holding these special meetings. He noted environmental difficulties throughout the entire route, especially the impact on the Forest Preserve. Mr. Simmons advised that the DEIS is the most daunting and central issue to focus on. He stated he would like to hear the City's thoughts on partnering with the County Attorney's Office. Mr. Simmons explained that the County Attorney's Office would have legal and technical engineering resources to represent the City of Greenbelt and the whole County.

Ms. Mach inquired if the DEIS is legal because it doesn't include the No-Build Option. Mr. Pounds advised that he will follow up with Ms. Mach when he addresses her other legal questions.

Mr. Roberts responded to Mr. Simmons's questions, noting that the City Council recently had a meeting with the County Council representatives. Mr. Roberts explained that the County Council advised that they will not go on record until the DEIS is released. He doesn't think the County

Attorney's Office will assist unless the County Council directs the office. Mr. Roberts did agree that is an avenue the City Council should pursue. He advised that he would like the Mayors Association to pressure County Executive Alsobrooks and Congressman Hoyer to go on record to oppose the Maglev. Mayor Byrd explained that he was disappointed that the County Council didn't want to write a letter or a resolution in opposition to the Maglev, but appreciated that they did go on record at the work session as individuals opposing the Maglev. He requested that staff follow up with scheduling a work session with Congressman Hoyer.

Mr. Jordan responded to Mr. Simmons's question stating he posed the same question, regarding their resources and legal staff, to the County Council at the work session. He explained that County Chair Turner would push for the resolution to oppose the Maglev and agreed to engage the County Attorney and the Maryland National Park and Planning Commission legal staff. He referred Mr. Simmons to the City's website to review the work session with the County Council.

There was a discussion regarding contacting Congressman Hoyer. Ms. Davis agreed that the staff needed to contact his office again. Mr. Jordan requested a work session with County Executive Alsobrooks. Mayor Byrd explained that once Congressman Hoyer takes a position regarding the Maglev, the Senators would have more latitude. Mr. Roberts said that Congressman Hoyer is in the proper place to act on not voting on BARC's property to be sold to a private owner. However, it takes an Act of Congress.

Mr. Simmons explained that there is staff in place in the County Attorney's Office to have the flexibility to work on this issue because the County Attorney's Office is there to represent the interest of the County residents.

Mr. Jordan stated that another short-term goal is to contact County Councilmembers Dannielle Glaros and Thomas Dernoga to engage the County Council. Mayor Byrd said that County Councilmember Glaros is opposed to the Maglev. Ms. Davis noted that Councilmember Dernoga hasn't publicly made a statement regarding the Maglev.

Ms. McCutchen suggested that Council could do a documentary to release on social media or possibly purchase yard signs or t-shirts. Ms. Davis noted that it was recommended in the Zoom chat that the City needs to spend its resources elsewhere and that the signs are placed where no one can view them. Ms. Davis suggested that other local groups could purchase the signs and put them in the right-of-way.

Michael Hartman asked whether there was neutral information on the City's website regarding the Maglev. He noted in the Zoom chat that NASA Goddard and the National Security Agency (NSA) had raised concerns regarding the Maglev electrical magnetic field affecting scientific intelligence equipment.

Mr. Jordan noted that Ms. Pope couldn't attend tonight's special meeting due to a standing committee.

There was a discussion regarding having an executive/closed session with the delegation. Mr. Jordan suggested having a candid conversation regarding legal options with some of the representatives of District 21 and 23A. In response to a question asked by Mr. Jordan, Mr. Pounds stated yes, it is possible to have executive/closed sessions with the Congressional

Delegation regarding topics related to Maglev. Ms. Davis and Mayor Byrd said they are not supportive of having an executive/closed session meeting.

Ms. Davis stated that the City came up with many short-term strategies that she will compose and requested that the list be published on the City's website.

Informational Items:

Ms. Davis reported on the Sustainable Maryland Executive Committee virtual meeting. She advised that the City will receive its recertification along with seven other cities at the MML Fall Conference on October 9.

Mr. Jordan wanted to thank Public Works for all the work they have been doing all over the City. He stated the bollard was knocked down at the crosswalk between Eleanor Roosevelt High School and the overpass.

Mr. Jordan asked was electric charging stations being installed at Schrom Hills Park. Ms. Hruby stated she would follow up with Public Works.

Based on a question asked by Mr. Putens, Mr. Pounds explained that he had a conversation with Ms. Rogers regarding obtaining a deed to the Armory for the City. Ms. Davis requested that before the City takes ownership of the Armory, the City is assured that there aren't any hazardous materials in the building. Mr. Pounds stated he would follow up with Ms. Rogers, asking for a release or reimbursement to ensure there aren't any issues. Mr. Roberts requested no roadblocks to getting to the Armory.

The meeting ended at 9:54 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaniya Lashley-Mullen
Assistant to the City Clerk

WORK SESSION OF THE GREENBELT CITY COUNCIL held Wednesday, February 10, 2021, to discuss the SCMaglev Task Force.

Mayor Byrd started the meeting at 8:00 p.m. The meeting was held virtually via Zoom.

PRESENT WERE: Councilmembers Judith F. Davis, Emmett V. Jordan, Leta M. Mach, Silke I. Pope, Edward V. J. Putens, Rodney M. Roberts, and Mayor Colin A. Byrd.

STAFF PRESENT WERE: Nicole Ard, City Manager; Todd Pounds, City Solicitor; Terri Hruby, Director of Planning and Community Development; Holly Simmons, Community Planner; Kevin Carpenter-Driscoll, Environmental Coordinator; and Shaniya Lashley-Mullen, Assistant to the City Clerk.

ALSO, PRESENT WERE: Jill Grant, Mary Clemmensen, Ian Fisher, Jill Grant and Associates; Rev. Ray Raysor, Community Relations Advisory Board; Mathew Inzeo, Advisory Planning Board; Charles Jackman, Advisory Committee on Trees; Ben Fischler; Anna Socrates; Tom Hayes; Pam Goddard; Daniel Yuan; Bob Snyder; and others.

Jill Grant advised that she is an Environmental Attorney representing the City regarding the Maglev project. She explained that the main task is to draft comments pointing out the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) flaws. Ms. Grant has been working with staff to coordinate the best way to address the comments. She stated that Council direction is sought on how to proceed.

Ms. Mach noted that the City would have to approach DEIS in all different ways. She explained that having the Maglev near National Security Agency (NSA) is detrimental to our personal safety.

Mr. Putens explained that the residents feel the impact on their property, homes, public lands, forest, parks, and overall effect on the environment. He advised that a significant concern is the possibility that people will lose their homes.

Mr. Roberts asked about the SCMaglev process and the SCMaglev restrictions. Ms. Grant noted that she and her associates would follow up with this request.

Ms. Grant reviewed the outline of issues to raise in comments on the SCMaglev DEIS, which included the purpose, the need for the project, financial assumptions, and traffic data.

Ms. Davis explained that the City wants a No-Build Option, and alternative options shouldn't be considered. She advised that the only other alternative she would think of is that the money for the project could go to Amtrak. Ms. Mach agreed with Ms. Davis. Other options she would consider would be to improve Amtrak and extend the Green Line at the Greenbelt Metro Station.

Ms. Grant recommended the following expertise to assist with the DEIS comments; transportation analysis; biology and forestry expertise; geotechnical expertise regarding tunneling; economic analysis; EMF/EMI radiation; and air quality expertise.

Mr. Roberts noted that it was necessary to raise all the issues on the DEIS. Based on a question asked by Mr. Roberts, Ms. Grant answered that the City could extend all the issues regarding the DEIS. The City doesn't have to spend much money on expertise until litigation for further investigation. Mr. Roberts noted that his most significant issue was the piecemeal aspect. He explained that if the Printing and Engraving Building is built at BARC, there would be construction on the roadways. Mr. Roberts stated that widening Kenilworth Avenue would destroy the wetlands.

Ms. Davis stated that she agreed with Mr. Roberts. Ms. Davis advised that different issues are only specific to different localities. Many of the points in the comments could be generalized to apply to other municipalities and Homeowners Associations (HOAs). Ms. Davis explained the reasons the City needs experts. She advised that there aren't any additional plans in other states involved in this project. Ms. Davis reported that Baltimore Washington Rapid Rail (BWRR) isn't forthcoming with information, and the City might have to submit a Freedom of Information Request.

Mr. Jordan stated that some of the HOAs have information on the maintenance of shoring up their foundation. Mr. Jordan advised that the City needed structural engineering expertise to quantify some of the potential impacts.

Mr. Roberts explained that NASA Goddard didn't want the Maglev going through its property.

Ms. Hruby noted that Jill Grant and Associates had identified many experts. The most important one to get sooner rather than later is the geotechnical expertise in this technology. She explained that the experts are vital in the City's comments.

Mathew Inzeo explained that APB is very concerned about the Federal government approving the project's first stages. The latest plans have the Maglev going under Greenbelt Road. He stated that APB was worried about the road condition and inquired who would maintain the roads if there were any damages or cracks. There was also concern about the homes on Mandan Road.

Mr. Carpenter-Driscoll provided a PowerPoint presentation of two potential routes for the Maglev.

Based on a question asked by Mr. Roberts, Mr. Carpenter-Driscoll answered BWRR's overall impact of the forest would be 175 feet wide disturbance during construction and a 70 feet right-of-way to be maintained after construction.

Ms. Davis advised that she agreed with Jill Grant and the Associate's expert recommendations. She recommended that the City also needed a hydrologist. She explained that the FAEE Structure would be in the middle of the Maryland Trade Center. It is a 4 or 5 story structure for emergency access. The boring machine would be surrounded by a barbwire fence.

Mr. Jordan inquired about the commercial zoning at Maryland Trade Center and the potential industrial use in the middle of the shopping center. Ms. Hruby answered that local zoning regulations bound the Maglev.

Based on questions asked by Ms. Davis, Ms. Grant answered that Sam Droege would be great as the biology forestry expert regarding the endangered species and forest biology issues. Ms. Davis

noted that it is unknown if Mr. Droege could assist because he is employed with USGS Patuxent. Ms. Davis agreed with Mr. Jordan regarding looking within Greenbelt for expertise in particular fields so that the City wouldn't have to hire experts.

There was a discussion regarding hiring experts. Ms. Clemmensen explained that she had been in contact with a professor at George Mason University who is a tunnel expert. Mr. Fisher explained that he worked with Norm Marshall, a transportation and traffic expert. Based on a question asked by Mr. Jordan, Ms. Grant answered that the contract would be between the City and the experts to assist Jill Grant and Associates with drafting the comments for the DEIS. She explained that the City could define the scope of the work and the hourly rate cap.

In response to a question asked by Mr. Jordan, Ms. Grant explained the timeline for Jill Grant and Associates to review all the aspects of the DEIS and various appendices. She noted that the experts would need to complete their work. The deadline for the DEIS comments is April 21, 2021. Mr. Jordan explained that the City would draft a letter engaging the City's sister municipalities in this process.

Bill Orleans, a Greenbelt resident, requested that the City of Greenbelt representative on the Transportation Planning Board request a complete presentation from the Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT) and BWRR. Based on a question asked by Mr. Orleans, Ms. Ard answered that staff has been working with Congressman Hoyer's office to schedule the work session.

Ms. Davis suggested a Maglev Task Force volunteer join in on the MCRT SCMaglev Group meeting. She also indicated that the Maglev Task Force would conduct a zoom meeting with HOAs and find out what is concerning them.

Robert Snyder, a Greenbelt resident, advised, in the beginning, that he was in favor of the Maglev. Since the COVID-19 pandemic, he has changed his position. He explained that COVID-19 would change the way society would view transportation, and he didn't think there would be a need for the Maglev.

Mr. Jordan suggested that Ms. Grant keep an eye on unincorporated Lanham to see if any facilities are impacted on Good Luck Road.

Ms. Mach suggested that the City get in touch with GATe regarding creating an exciting video on how the Maglev would destroy the Forest Preserve and have the video added to the City's website.

Ms. Davis noted that a Cost Sharing Letter was discussed at Monday's meeting, but the other part of the letter is for staff to set up an account for individuals to donate money in support of stopping the Maglev. Ms. Davis stated that if the Maglev is built, it will divide the City.

Ms. Pope left the meeting early.

In response to a question asked by Ms. Davis, Ms. Grant answered that Council had provided her and her Associate's direction on all the issues on the DEIS. She stated that she would follow up with the Planning staff regarding what experts the City would want to hire. Mayor Byrd indicated that it would help if Council knew how much extra it would be for each expert. Ms.

Davis noted that Council already suggested experts that wouldn't be expensive, Sam Droege, Owen Kelly, and Louis Cerny. Mr. Roberts indicated that Jill Grant and Associates work with City staff to hire the experts needed to do the job.

Informational Item

Ms. Davis provided a brief report on the Maryland Municipal League Committee meeting she attended today.

The meeting ended at 10:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaniya Lashley-Mullen
Assistant to the City Clerk

City Council Work Session Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: November 21, 2022

Submitted by: Shaniya Lashley-Mullen

Submitting Department: Administration

Item Type: Closed Session

Agenda Section: Work Session Packet

Subject:

Closed Session - Legal Matter regarding the Proposed Maglev

Suggested Action:

Reference: Closed Session Notice

Attachments:

[Closed Session Official Notice 221121.pdf](#)

CITY OF GREENBELT, MARYLAND

25 CRESCENT ROAD, GREENBELT, MD 20770



CITY COUNCIL

Emmett V. Jordan, Mayor
Kristen L.K. Weaver, Mayor Pro Tem
Colin A. Byrd
Judith F. Davis
B. Ric Gordon
Silke I. Pope
Rodney M. Roberts

OFFICIAL NOTICE

In accordance with Section 3-305(b)(7) of the General Provisions Article of the Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, a closed session of the Greenbelt City Council will be held on Monday, November 21st, 2022, in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building immediately following the Maglev Update Work Session: 1) to consult with counsel to obtain legal advice on a legal matter.

The purpose of this meeting is 1) to consult with counsel to obtain legal advice on a legal matter regarding the Proposed MAGLEV.

**The public may attend the Maglev Update Work Session prior to the closed session, and observe the vote of the City Council to move into closed session on Monday, November 21st, 2022.*

Bonita Anderson
City Clerk

A NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARK

PHONE: (301) 474-8000 www.greenbeltmd.gov